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The right to peace as a condition for a democratic and equitable order

In 2011, the Human Rights Council established the mandate of independent expert on the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order, affirming that a democratic and equitable international order requires, inter alia, the realization of the right of all peoples to peace.¹

A few months later, in July 2012, the Human Rights Council established the Intergovernmental Working Group entrusted with the preparation of the United Nations declaration on the right to peace,² on the basis of the draft declaration adopted by the Advisory Committee in 2012,³ which had embodied 85% of the standards proposed by the Santiago Declaration on the Human Right to Peace, adopted by civil society in 2010.

Three years later, we regret that the mandate has been totally forgotten and the codification process has been suspended with no advancement. Indeed, the Chairperson-Rapporteur submitted to the Human Rights Council on 25 June 2015 his report on the third session of the Working Group,⁴ which was held in Geneva in April 2015, including his third draft declaration on the right to peace. The title, several preambular paragraphs and the three articles proposed in the draft appeared in square brackets, revealing the objections of the States, even thought the draft did not recognize the right to peace or any of its essential elements. The Chairperson-Rapporteur concluded that it was not possible to reach a consensus on the draft declaration, and invited the Human Rights Council to assess whether the international community was in a position to further develop the right to peace in a consensual manner at this time.

Finally, the Chairperson-Rapporteur referred to the commemoration in 2015 of the 70th anniversary of the establishment of the United Nations Organization to invite the States to conclude the codification process, provided that an agreement could be reached in a consensual manner. If not, the States should respond to their civil societies for that failure.

The debate showed again the division of States on the codification process of this emerging right. On one hand, European States affirmed their rejection of the legal basis of the right to peace, but reiterated their willingness to discuss the linkages between peace and human rights. On the other hand, Latin American States, States belonging to the Non-Aligned Movement, and ASEAN Member States, defended the right to peace and the need to develop it in a declaration, therefore supporting the extension of the mandate of the Working Group.

Civil society organizations in turn, expressed their disappointment with the codification process through different oral and written statements, explaining that the provisions of the third draft declaration submitted by the Chairperson-Rapporteur were insufficient to recognize the human right to peace, since they did not define the emerging right or develop its elements, meaning no advancement in the current status of international human rights law.

Therefore, the 29th session of the Human Rights Council closed on 3 July 2015 without any State introducing a resolution requesting the extension of the mandate of the Working Group for one additional year. The lack of action by the Human Rights Council meant the suspension of the codification process of the right to peace.

¹ Resolution 18/6, of 29 September 2011, para. 6.
² Resolution 20/15, of 5 July 2012.
³ Doc. A/HRC/20/31, of 16 April 2012.
Civil society organizations regret this suspension. We recommend that the Working Group resume its initial mandate and start a true negotiation on the elements of the human right to peace, based on the Advisory Committee’s Declaration of 2012 and the Santiago Declaration of 2010. To this end, the consensus rule should be abandoned in favour of the majority rule (as established in Article 20 of the rules of procedure of the Human Rights Council), in order to prevent that a small number of developed States which reject the existence of the right to peace, from frustrating the aspirations of the majority of developing States and civil society organizations.

For its part, the Independent Expert on the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order noted in his 2014 report that peace is a condition for a just international order, necessitating good faith disarmament negotiations and a gradual transition from military-first budgets to human security budget5.

Consequently, civil society organizations call on States supporting the human right to peace to submit a draft resolution to the Human Rights Council at its 30th session, to extend the mandate of the Working Group, with a view to completing the United Nations Declaration on the Right to Peace, which would constitute an outstanding progress in the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order based on respect for universal human rights and adherence to the rule of law.
