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I
The Spanish Society for International Human Rights Law (SSIHRL) welcomed on 30 October 2006 the adoption of the Luarca Declaration on the Human Right to Peace, which was drafted by a Committee of independent experts. It was the culmination of a process of extensive consultations within the Spanish civil society, with the support of the Catalonian Agency for Cooperation to Development.

Following the adoption of the Luarca Declaration, the SSIHRL has continued in all regions of the world the process of consultations with civil society through the organization of conferences and expert meetings on the human right to peace[1]. In December 2010 the SSIHRL will call civil society for a World Peace Conference in Santiago de Compostela, Spain, to discuss inputs received from regional consultations with a view to adopt a final text of the Universal Declaration on the Human Right to Peace which will be submitted to the HR Council, urging its Member States to initiate the official codification of the human right to peace.

On 15 March 2007 the Luarca Declaration on the Human Right to Peace was presented to the fourth session of the Human Rights Council in an oral statement delivered by UNESCO Etxea on behalf of SSIHRL. Since then several parallel meetings have taken place at the Palais des Nations in Geneva during the subsequent sessions of the Human Rights Council[2].

II

During the Peace Conferences held at the Hague (Netherlands, 1899-1907) the international community agreed to adopt the first formal treaties of the laws of war and war crimes in the emerging body of international law. These latter norms together with the law security system applied in the inter-war period[3] had a main purpose not only to limit the use of force, but also to promote the rights of soldiers, disarmament, preventing war through collective security, settling disputes between countries through negotiation, diplomacy and improving global quality of life. Despite the efforts made by the international community to establish a peaceful system of disarmament, the onset of World War II showed that the League of Nations failed its primary purpose, namely, to avoid any future world war.

Although the United Nations Organization was created to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, the current arsenal of arms of mass destruction has become a real threat to international peace and security, since the deployment of such weapons could potentially annihilate millions of people all over the world.

In accordance with Articles 11 and 26 of the UN Charter, the United Nations is pursued to enhance the general principle of cooperation in the maintenance of international peace and security through the disarmament and regulation of armaments. Nevertheless, Member States have always been more interested in controlling or restraining the use and development of certain arms[4], rather than promoting an effective
disarmament at the highest level\cite{5}. It follows that the number of victims of the countless international and national armed conflicts have dramatically increased since the end of the Cold War. As indicated by the 2009 SIPRI Yearbook\cite{6}, the global military expenditure in 2008 is estimated to have reached $1464 billion, which represents an increase of 4 per cent in real terms compared to 2007, and of 45 per cent since 1999.

The continuing existence of nuclear weapons poses a threat to the world as their use would have catastrophic consequences for all life on Earth and humankind in general\cite{7}. The Human Rights Committee recognized that the “designing, testing, manufacture, possession and deployment of nuclear weapons are among the greatest threats to the right to life which confront mankind today”\cite{8}. As stated by the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons based on Article 6 of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty,\cite{9} Member States are obligated to conduct negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control.

The First Committee of the General Assembly on Disarmament and International Security highlighted that the use of illicit small arms and light weapons (SALW)\cite{10} constitutes a clear threat to peace consolidation. Besides, Mrs Barbara Frey - Special Rapporteur on the issue of prevention of human rights violations committed with SALW- indicated\cite{11} that this type of weapons has a devastating impact on the human rights standards, such as the right to life, liberty and the security of person. As added by the meeting of experts on the traditional and new forms of mercenary\cite{12}, mercenary activities may also pose a threat to a broad range of human rights as a consequence of the close relationship prevailing between the new forms of mercenary activities and arms trafficking. The launching of strong public information campaigns on education and culture of peace are, inter alia, necessary to combat violence in all regions and globally\cite{13}.

Since arms in general and nuclear weapons in particular are mainly used as symbols for power, wealth and sovereignty, States might more easily dismantle them when there could exist a fundamental reconsideration of the security strategies\cite{14}. The decision to move decisively toward disarmament, would ignite a revolutionary change in international relations as this symbolism would be progressively substituted by the concept of human security\cite{15}. Besides, taking into account that the mutual distrust is the greatest cause of militarism in the world, the emerging recognition of the human rights to peace and disarmament as solidarity rights, could contribute not only to reduce reciprocal suspicion among nations, but also to strengthen trust between them.

The right to general and complete disarmament is one of the legal contents of the right to peace as understood as the right of every human being to live in peace\cite{16}. The possession, deployment and threat of use of weapons affect the life of individuals by impeding them the full realization of their personality and dignity\cite{17}. Thus, the right to disarmament is a component of the right to peace, as well as, an autonomous
right included in the list of the solidarity/third generation rights[18].

As stated by Mr. Rudi Muhammad Rizki, Independent expert on human rights and international solidarity, international cooperation is needed to fulfil not only partial, but also international interests such as the preservation of the order and very survival of international society[19]. Since the purpose of the United Nations is to become the centre for harmonizing actions of all nations to achieve their common end of maintaining international peace and security[20], the international community should foster a general and complete disarmament as a means to achieve a just, lasting and constructive peace in the world.

The final outcome of the International Conference on the Relationship between disarmament and development[21] concluded that true and lasting peace and security in this interdependent world demand rapid progress in both disarmament and development, since they are the most urgent challenges facing the world today and the pillars on which should be built enduring international peace and security. As consequence of the growing interdependence and interrelationship among nations and global issues, multilateralism provide the international framework within which the relationship between disarmament, development and security should be shaped[22]. It follows that the human rights to peace, disarmament and development as solidarity rights, require the union of interests or purposes among all countries of the world, social cohesion and international cooperation to give them effect[23].

As the General Assembly underlines, excessive armament and military spending may have negative effects on development, because their spread and availability endanger stability and welfare and diminish social and economic confidence. Although disarmament does not necessarily lead to development, there is no doubt that disarmament may help to create more stable international, national and local situations favourable to development[24]. Thus, international community should devote part of resources from the implementation of disarmament and arms limitation agreements, to economic and social development with a view to meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG)[25].

Article 11 of the Luarca Declaration on the Human Right to Peace addresses the right to disarmament as follows:

*Individuals and peoples have the right:*

c) To the allocation of the resources freed by disarmament to the economic, social and cultural development of peoples and the fair redistribution of such resources, responding especially to the needs of the poorest countries and to vulnerable groups, in such a way as to put an end to inequality, social exclusion and poverty.

Paragraph 9 of the 2005 World Summit Outcome[26] recognizes the linkage between peace,
development and human rights:

“We acknowledge that peace and security, development and human rights are the pillars of the United Nations system and the foundations for collective security and well-being. We recognize that development, peace and security and human rights are interlinked and mutually reinforcing”.

The First Committee of the General Assembly on Disarmament and International Security[27] recognized that the international community should pay due attention the agreements on disarmament and arms limitations adopted at the UN Conference on Environment and Development. The observance of environmental norms as a new solidarity right, is fundamental at the time of preparing and implementing disarmament and arms control programmes. In particular, the dumping of radioactive wastes is a clear threat to the environment and human security.

Although gender and disarmament relationship are not immediately apparent, gender mainstreaming represents a different approach to the traditionally complex and politically sensitive fields of security, disarmament, non-proliferation and arms control[28]. The Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action stated that full participation of women in decision-making, conflict prevention and resolution and any other peace initiative, are essential to the realization of lasting peace[29]. Besides, the Security Council resolution 1325 on women, peace and security, recognized gender mainstreaming as a major global strategy for the promotion of gender equality by indicating that “all those involved in the planning for disarmament, demobilization and reintegration should consider the different needs of female and male ex-combatants”.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We therefore urge the Human Rights Council to further promote the human rights to peace and disarmament as a means to foster the right to life, liberty and security of those person victims of uncontrolled use of weapons, violence and armed conflict; to enhance the social justice, equity and gender equality; and to encourage solidarity, peace and friendly relations among all nations, races, ethnicities or religions.

The Human Rights Committee should update its General Comment 14 (1984) on Article 6 of ICCPR, in order to address current challenges of nuclear weapons affecting right to life.

The Human Rights Council should remind Member States to be aware of the close relationship between efforts to promote solidarity rights, and the construction of democratic, interactive and egalitarian multiculturalism, as well as the promotion of dialogue among cultures, civilizations and religions, as a means to achieve the human right to peace and to discourage the armament career.

Member States should take necessary measures aiming at the realization of the solidarity rights as contained in the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, the International Covenants on

The Human Rights Council should further request its **Working Group on the Right to Development** to analyse the issue of **development, peace and disarmament**, and to adopt general recommendations on the methodology for developing poverty-reduction strategies.

**Member States** should further recognize the need to **enhance gender mainstreaming** in the field of peace-building as requested by the Beijing Declaration and Platform of Action from the Fourth World Conference on Women of 1995; and to promote **women participation** at all levels of decision-making on **peace, disarmament and security** issues, as provided for in Security Council Resolution 1325

Finally, we invite all international actors to fully participate at **the Workshop on the right of peoples to peace**, to be organized by the High Commissioner before February 2010, pursuant to Council resolution 11/4, adopted on 17 June 2009.

---
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