THE INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATION FOR THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION (EAFORD)

PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE

1. Basic Documents of the International Organisation for the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (EAFORD)
2. Zionism and Racism (Proceedings of International Forum 1976) £1.50
3. South Africa & Israel - R.P. Stevens & A.M. Eismont £1.50
4. Treatment of Palestinians in Israeli-Occupied West Bank and Gaza - Report of the National Lawyers Guild (USA) 1977 Middle East Delegation £1.50
5. Dossier: Le Racisme Au Quebec (Quebec Movement to Combat Racism) £1.00
6. The International Organisation for the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination by Dr. T. Ata't
7. La Relation et les Relations entre Israel et L'Afrique du Sud by . Elizabeth Mathias 30p
8. A Question of Identity and Self-fulfilment by Dr. Anis Al-Qasem and Dr Roberto Cardoso de Oliveira 30p
9. Israel and South Africa - Ideology and Practice by Dr. A. Molnah 30p
10. The Structure of the Zionist Movement in the United States by Rabbi Dy Elizer Berger 30p
11. The Case in South Africa by Dr. T. Ata't 30p
12. Sanctions Against South Africa: The Lessons of Sanctions Against Rhodesia by Dr. A. Molnah 30p
13. The Amnesty Plan: Israeli Colonisation Under a New Name by Elizabeth Mathias (pub. by EURABIA, Paris) 30p
14. Le Racisme en France (in French) par un Groupe de Stagiaires Quebecois free
15. An International View of Racial Discrimination by Dr. Anis Al-Qasem 30p
16. Zionist Ideology - Oblivion to Peace by Rabbi Dr. Elmer Berger 30p
17. Zionism and the Lands of Palestine by Sami Hadawi and Walter Lehn 30p
19. The Independent Personality of the Palestinians through their Arts by Dr. T. Ata't 30p
20. Israeli use of Palestinian Waters and International Law by Dr. T. Ata't 30p
21. Canada's Aboriginals: The Struggle for their Homelands by Charles Rosch 30p
22. Racist Regimes and the Land of the Indigenous Peoples by Dr. Anis Al-Qasem 30p
23. The Caribs and their Colonizers: the Problem of Land presented by Chief Hillary Frederik 30p

The above publications are available from branches and from EAFORD's London Office at
35-37 Ludgate Hill, London EC4M 7JN
Head Office: Tripoli, Libya.

BRANCHES

Quebec Movement to Combat Racism, 8225 Blvd. St. Laurent, Montreal, H2L 2M1, Canada.
EAFORD (Gen'l Secretary), 10 Lime St, Athens 182, Greece.
EAFORD (Gen'l Secretary), 617 Rosedale Place, Colombo 7, Sri Lanka.
EAFORD-CARIB, PO Box 159, Roseau, Dominica, W.I.
MFAR (Movement Francoise Anti-Raciste), 6-14 rue Ampere, Paris 7, France.
EAFORD (Kenya), PO Box 5846, Nairobi, Kenya.

AN INTERNATIONAL VIEW OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

by Dr Anis Al-Qasem
Secretary General, EAFORD
All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of EAPORX.
AN INTERNATIONAL VIEW OF
RACIAL DISCRIMINATION
by
Dr Anis Al-Qasem
Secretary General, EAFORD

Phenomenon of Pluralistic Societies
It is axiomatic to state that we are now living in pluralistic societies with
groups belonging to differing cultures, creeds, colours and ethnic origins.
In fact, this is not a new phenomenon. Movement of populations, for a
number of reasons, has characterised human social behaviour at least since
the dawn of recorded history. This mobility has only increased in recent
times because of the greater ease and availability of air travel which
contracted distances and brought people closer and closer to each other.
It is not unnatural that in most cases the newcomers are faced with resent-
ment and opposition by the indigenous population or by the earlier settlers,
particularly if it is a case of conquest. A struggle for political, economic and
social domination erupts and may continue for generations. Control of the
land has always been one of the main objectives and causes of the struggle.
We have witnessed this in the colonisation of the New World and we are still
witnessing it in Southern Africa and Palestine where the white Europeans in
South Africa and of the Palestinian Arabs in Palestine. In all cases, the
indigenous peoples are systematically evicted from the land to become
either refugees or cheap manual labour relying increasingly on the new
master for their livelihood and existence. In such cases, every right of the
indigenous population is subjected to the overriding will of the dominant
groups.

In our own days, the days of human rights, we see repeated examples of
the continued encroachment on whatever rights have been left to the
indigenous peoples. Development projects, which are primarily designed
for the benefit of the dominant group, pay very limited regard to the rights
and interests of the indigenous population where such projects are to be
executed in areas specifically reserved for the indigenous population. In
northern Canada, the very basic means of livelihood, which is fishing and
hunting, is very seriously threatened. The same thing is happening in
Australia. In Palestine, the Israelis are drawing the water resources from
Arab land, restricting the use of water by Palestinian Arab farmers while
setting up Israeli settlements which rely entirely on stolen Arab water — a policy which has been severely censured by the United Nations, but to no avail.

In extreme cases, the ultimate solution has been and still being adopted, namely: genocide, the physical elimination of the indigenous population. In some countries in Latin America, this solution was applied to some extent and cruelly executed. The present declared official policy of Israel is to kill the Palestinians wherever they can be found.

Racial Tensions

However, the problems of racial relations, as I have tried to point out at the beginning of this address, was not confined to colonial situations. The problem may arise within any multi-racial society.

Racial tensions, within any given society, are not limited to tensions between an immigrant group which has acquired dominance and the indigenous population. Tension may exist between a small immigrant group which has not acquired dominance and the dominant group. In Britain, for example, there is a fairly sizeable immigrant community from the former British colonies in Africa, Asia and the West Indies. Some sections of the British people, represented mainly by a political party calling itself the National Front, more than resent the presence of these immigrants in Britain. In the rest of Western Europe, there are immigrant workers like the Algerians or the Turks, who are denied many of their basic human rights because of their colour or ethnic origin. They are not, for example, permitted to bring their wives and children and they are denied social benefits which are enjoyed by local workers.

Yet, within the same society, certain ethnic groups, like the blacks in North America, may suffer discrimination simply because, ethnically, they do not belong to the same ethnic group of the dominant majority.

There are, of course, many variations of this theme, but in all cases of racial discrimination one feature is present: certain ethnic groups consider themselves superior to the others, and, consequently, try to gain greater rights and privileges at the expense of whom they consider inferior. The principle of equality in humanity may be admitted, but the attributes of humanity may be severely restricted. Racial attributes become like geometrical axioms with all the good qualities given to the superior race, and, indeed, to every member of that race. The political, constitutional, economic, educational and social systems are built, developed and practised on the basis of the assumption of the superiority of some and the inferiority of the others. Economic and social practices implement and emphasize discrimination. Jobs are more available to the presumed racially superior group than to the others, higher wages, exclusivity of employment and so on.

With the passage of time, the deprived will become, comparatively, more
equally be pursued, arrested and brought to justice.

To combat racism and racial discrimination is a national and international necessity in order to achieve national and international harmony. In both cases, a very serious and inflammable cause of tension will be removed. In both cases, peoples can constructively and, with pride assert their identity and cultivate respect for the identity of others. Self-fulfilment, whether for individuals or ethnic groups, within the concept of equality in dignity and rights for all, is a healthy phenomenon nationally and internationally.

For this cause, the cause of human dignity, our Organisation, EAFORD, is dedicated, and, with the involvement of others who share the same view we are certain that the cause will succeed.

Dr Anis-Al Quseem
Secretary General
EAFORD

February 1981

*Address given by Dr Anis-Al Quseem at the seminar “Ethnicity and Racial Relations”, University of Brasília, Brazil, 26/7 February 1981.

deprived, and the privileged more privileged. Inequality tends to become perpetuated. While this condition lasts tension continues to grow. To protect the privileges of the dominant ethnic group or groups, they become more and more oppressive, particularly if the deprived ethnic group shows symptoms of resentment and activity to remove discrimination from which they suffer. Various excuses are always given to the policy of oppression and repression, except the correct one. ‘National Security’ is the most commonly used excuse whether in South Africa, Israel or some Latin American countries.

Instead of remedying the situation, the policy of oppression simply intensifies and sharpens the conflict, until the stage is set for bloody confrontation as we have seen in USA in the sixties and as we now see between the blacks and whites in Southern Africa and between the Palestinians and the Israelis in Palestine. And the story will repeat itself so long as the injustices, discriminations and inequalities suffered by any ethnic group are not effectively eliminated.

The aspirations of peoples, every people, for equality in dignity and rights cannot be extinguished, and, underneath the ashes, the fire continues awaiting for the proper breeze to blow the ashes away and turn the fire into flames.

And this need not happen: the misery, the bloodshed and torture, the fear for life and the constant anxiety about today and tomorrow. Social harmony is a goal which should be a major objective.

One of the main consequences of the Second World War was the creation of the United Nations whose Charter declared that “the United Nations shall promote universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion” (Article 55). A second consequence of the War was the acceleration acquired by the decolonisation process which led to the emergence on the international scene of nations which had a history of suffering from race prejudice and racial discrimination. Within the United Nations, the struggle against colonialism culminated in the Declaration of the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of 14th December 1960.

International Action

However, the question of racial discrimination was brought before the international forum much earlier than that date. It was in 1946 that India complained to the United Nations about the treatment of persons of Indian origin in South Africa, and thus, apartheid, as a form of racism and racial discrimination, became exposed together with the system that produced it.

The presence of the whites in South Africa was a colonial presence for whose maintenance the philosophy and practices of apartheid, based on assumed white inherent superiority, were adopted. The United Nations, years later,
on 10 November 1975, found another example of existing settler-colonialism engineered and maintained by ideas of racial superiority. This example is Israel and Zionism and the UN General Assembly declared Zionism (and not Judaism) as a form of racism and racial discrimination. In its endeavour to implement the Charter which called for the promotion of universal respect for, and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, the General Assembly of the United Nations proclaimed on 20 November 1965, the United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. To incorporate the concepts and principles embodied in the Declaration into international and national law, the General Assembly of the United Nations took a very important step: on 21 December 1965, it adopted and opened for signature and ratification the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

That Convention marked a significant step in the struggle for human rights in general, and for the elimination of racial discrimination in particular.

The General Assembly went further. On 30 November 1973, it adopted and opened for signature and ratification the International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid which, as defined in the Convention, goes beyond the concept of racial segregation, which is one of the main features of apartheid in South Africa.

Both Conventions have now become a part of international law, and, for the countries which have ratified them, a part of their national law as well. Therefore, victims of racial discrimination and those who support the elimination of racial discrimination have, at least, these weapons to rely upon. Without going into a detailed analysis of the two Conventions, it will be useful to refer to some of their provisions which deal with concepts and which are helpful in any discussion of racial relations.

The first point I would like to refer to is the definition of racial discrimination and the obligations of States to eliminate racial discrimination.

The Convention defines punishable offences under the Conventions and the nature of the crimes so committed.

Racial Discrimination Defined

Article 1 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination defines 'racial discrimination' to mean:

"any distinction, exclusion or preference based on race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin which has the purpose or effect of nullifying or impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural or any other field of public life."

This definition may be described as the 'equality of rights and opportunity' of law in the world and not only before the courts of the country where he committed the offence.

The International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid adopted by the United Nations General Assembly and opened for signature and ratification on 20 November 1973, specifically declared apartheid a crime against humanity, and the inhuman acts resulting from the policies and practices of apartheid and similar policies and practices of racial segregation and discrimination to be crimes violating the principles of international law.

The crime of 'apartheid', as defined in the Convention, is not limited to the traditional policy and practices of racial laws, but the General Assembly of the United Nations South Africa. It is wider than that. It includes, in general, any act the purpose of which is to establish and maintain domination by one racial group of persons over any other racial group of persons and systematically oppressing them.

Thus, as stated in the Convention, it includes, 'inter alia', deliberate imposition on a racial group or groups of living conditions calculated to cause or to result in their physical destruction in whole or in part. It includes legislative and other measures calculated to deny a racial group the right to leave and to return to their country. It includes the expropriation of landed property belonging to a racial group or to members thereof. It includes the denial of the right to nationality.

These are only some of the examples given in the Convention and, because of their apartheid nature, are declared as crimes against humanity. These crimes are being daily committed by racist regimes and yet they escape punishment. South African refugees and Palestinian refugees are denied by the whites of South Africa and by the Israelis, respectively, the right to return to their countries. The policy of expulsion operates as a constant threat and is used particularly against community leaders. The expulsion of the two elected Palestinian mayors is still fresh in our minds as well as the condemnation of such act by the entire membership of the United Nations Security Council. There is the acts of omission which constitute punishable indigenous peoples are daily being expropriated although such expropriation violates international law and constitutes a crime against humanity.

The perpetrators of such crimes should be punished, as required by international law. As I said earlier, every court in the world has jurisdiction to try and convict them. If the world community wants to enhance the cause of the dignity of man and the equality of peoples, it should enforce effectively the provisions of the Convention which call for the trial of those who commit crimes against humanity. If the provisions of the Convention are applied, racist regimes will become completely isolated, and their leaders would not dare leave their capitals for fear of street, conditions and conviction. The Nazi war criminals are being, rightly, pursued everywhere to be punished for the crimes they committed against humanity. The new racists should
objective analysis of the facts and the application to them of internationally agreed criteria. That is why the opponents of such resolutions resorted and still resort to abuse and traducions unfounded at the United Nations.

Similarly, the behaviour and policies and practices of governments can now be judged with reference to objective internationally agreed and accepted criteria and standards of evaluation. When it is said today that the aborigines in some parts of Australia, the immigrant workers in some European countries, the blacks in South Africa or the Palestinians under Israeli rule are subjected to racial discrimination, such statements are made and can be objectively judged by reference to the facts of each case and the controlling internationally agreed and accepted criteria. It is important to keep this in mind in any debate about race and racial discrimination.

It is also important to remember that the elimination of racial discrimination should not have as its objective the creation of a atmosphere of forced assimilation. The objective is to eliminate the unhealthy differences in culture, language or ways of life. Diversity is accepted and recognised. Ethnic groups have the right to develop their own identity while, at the same time, showing respect to the identities of others. Paragraph 2 of Article 1 of UNESCO’s Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice reads:

“All individuals and groups have the right to be different, to consider themselves different and to be regarded as such. However, the diversity of life styles and the right to be different may not, in any circumstances, serve as a pretext for racial prejudice; they may not justify either in law or in fact any discriminatory practice whatsoever, nor provide a ground for the policy of apartheid, which is the extreme form of racism.”

Crime Against Humidity

To emphasise the necessity to eliminate racial discrimination, the international community declared acts of racial discrimination as criminal offences punishable by law. Under the Convention, it is a criminal offence to disseminate ideas based on racial superiority or racial discrimination. Organisations based on ideas or theories of racial superiority or which attempt to propagate or even justify racial hatred and discrimination in any form are condemned and, consequently, should be declared illegal. To give effect to these and similar provisions, governments and non-governmental organisations should resort to positive action and utilise the machinery of the criminal law. States which have adopted the Convention and voted in the General Assembly in favour of the resolutions declaring apartheid and zionism as forms of racism and racial discrimination should fulfil their obligations as international obligation by prohibiting and punishing apartheid or zionist propaganda or activities or the justification thereof.

In fact and in law, racial discrimination, like war crimes, are now classified as crimes against humanity. Consequently, any person who promotes, practices or commits acts of racial discrimination can be tried before any definition, which reflects the traditional definitions of racial discrimination. However, it has been realised for some time that an abstract concept of equality cannot, by itself, lead to the elimination of the consequences of accumulated injustices suffered by historically disadvantaged ethnic groups.

Experience has shown that, while the principle of equal rights and equal opportunity should be fully respected, yet in the case of ethnic groups which had been subjected to racial discrimination over a long period of time, a strict application of this principle would not result in the elimination of the disadvantages affecting their ability to compete in a competitive open society. Specific policies and procedures would be required in order to enable members of such disadvantaged ethnic groups to compete effectively in the political, economic, educational and social activities of society. Efforts in that direction, like the Affirmative Action programme in the USA, faced opposition from those who interpreted such efforts as amounting to what they called ‘reverse discrimination’ in the sense that such programmes involve, in most cases, discrimination against the other ethnic groups. Indeed that was the very point which raised considerable controversy in the United States culminating before the Supreme Court in the now famous Bakke case which was finally decided in 1978.

With that possible dilemma in mind, paragraph 4 of Article 1 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination provided as follows:

"Special measures taken for the sole purpose of securing adequate advancement of certain racial or ethnic groups or individuals requiring such protection as may be necessary in order to ensure such groups or individuals equal enjoyment or exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms shall not be deemed racial discrimination, provided, however, that such measures do not, as a consequence, lead to the maintenance of separate rights for different racial groups and that they shall not be continued after the objectives for which they were taken has been achieved."

Under the Convention, therefore, measures taken for the purpose of securing adequate advancement of disadvantaged ethnic groups are not considered racial discrimination. The crimes adopted by the Convention to distinguish such special measures from measures tainted with racial discrimination are:

1. The measures must be solely for the purpose of securing adequate advancement of such groups and for no other purpose.
2. The measures should not lead to racial segregation;
3. The measures must be transitory in nature and remain effective for the period of time necessary for the realisation of their objectives.
Special Measures for the Disadvantaged

Naturally, the adoption of such special measures to assist disadvantaged ethnic groups may lead to some controversy. Nevertheless, the Convention emphasized the responsibility of States to take such special measures since the mere elimination of racial discrimination will leave such groups under the disadvantages of the past which will seriously hamper their advancement. Therefore and in recognition of this fact, paragraph 3 of Article 2 of the Convention provided as follows:

"States Parties shall, when the circumstances so warrant, take, in the social, economic, cultural and other fields, special and concrete measures to ensure the adequate development and protection of certain racial groups or individuals belonging to them, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the full and equal enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms. These measures shall in no case entail as a consequence the maintenance of unequal or separate rights for different racial groups after the objectives for which they were taken have been achieved."

The UNESCO Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice adopted by the General Conference on 27 November 1978 dealt also with the question of special measures in connection with disadvantaged ethnic groups. It shall only read the relevant provision which, incidentally, specifically referred to housing, employment, health, authenticity of culture and values, and social and occupational advancement, especially education. Paragraph (2) of Article 9 of the Declaration reads:

"Special measures must be taken to ensure equality in dignity and rights for individuals and groups wherever necessary, while ensuring that they are not such as to appear racially discriminatory. In this respect, particular attention should be paid to racial or ethnic groups which are socially or economically disadvantaged, so as to afford them, on a completely equal footing and without discrimination or restriction, the protection of the laws and regulations and the advantages of the social measures in force, in particular in regard to housing, employment and health, to respect the authenticity of their culture and values, and to facilitate their social and occupational advancement, especially through education."

It is obvious that the international community, represented by its governments, are, at least theoretically, in agreement on the need to eliminate racial discrimination and the need to take special measures to rectify the disadvantages suffered by certain ethnic groups to enable them to protect and develop their own identity on the one hand and to facilitate their advancement until they can fully compete and develop in equality and dignity with others.

Other Obligations of States

In addition to these special measures to assist the disadvantaged, the Convention placed on States certain other definite obligations. States are obligated not to engage in practice racial discrimination, or to sponsor, defend or support racial discrimination. Positively, they are required to take effective measures to review governmental, national and local policies and to amend, rescind or nullify any laws and recognition which have the effect of creating or perpetuating racial discrimination wherever it exists. They are required to prohibit and bring to an end, by all appropriate means, including legislation, racial discrimination by any persons, group or organisations.

States are obligated to encourage integrationist, multicultural organisations and movements and other means of eliminating barriers between races.

Under the Convention, States undertook to adopt immediate and effective measures, particularly in the fields of teaching, education, culture and information, with a view to combating prejudices which lead to racial discrimination and to promoting understanding, tolerance and friendship among nations and racial or ethnic groups, as well as to propagating the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and the Convention on the same subject.

Thus, both the Declaration and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination as well as the UNESCO Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice, place positive obligations on States and governments and standards of behaviour in addition to the enumeration of principles. When the behaviour of a State is analysed by reference to such obligations, standards and principles, it can be determined whether such a state is combating or condoning promoting racial discrimination.

State behaviour is not measured simply by reference to its legislation. On occasion, legislation can be very deceiving. Racial discrimination and the denial of human rights and fundamental freedoms have been and they are still practised and maintained under constitutions and laws which guaranteed equality of rights and opportunity. In addition to laws, one should look at policies, practices and attitudes. It is the effect that the Convention calls for consideration, and not the pious statements.

Consequently, we no longer judge the existence or non-existence of racial discrimination by reference to subjective or unagreed criteria. The international community has definitely established such criteria. Therefore, when the General Assembly approved the new national ideology, such as apartheid and zionism, as forms of racism and racial discrimination, it does so by reference to internationally accepted and agreed definitions of racism and racial discrimination. The resolutions are not the result of pressures from interested parties. On the contrary, they are the result of
Special Measures for the Disadvantaged

Naturally, the adoption of such special measures to assist disadvantaged ethnic groups may lead to some controversy. Nevertheless, the Convention emphasized the responsibility of States to take such special measures since the mere elimination of racial discrimination will leave such groups under the disadvantages of the past which will seriously hamper their advance- ment. Therefore, and in recognition of this fact, paragraph 2 of Article 2 of the Convention provided as follows:

"States Parties shall, when the circumstances so warrant, take, in the social, economic, cultural and other fields, special and concrete measures to ensure the adequate development and protection of certain racial groups or individuals belonging to them, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the full and equal enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms. These measures shall in no case entail as a conse- quence the maintenance of unequal or separate rights for different racial groups after the objectives for which they were taken have been achieved."

The UNESCO Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice adopted by the General Conference on 27 November 1978 dealt also with the question of special measures in connection with disadvantaged ethnic groups. It shall only read the relevant provision which, incidentally, specifically referred to housing, employment, health, authenticity of culture and values, and social and occupational advancement, especially education. Paragraph (2) of Article 9 of the Declaration reads:

"Special measures must be taken to ensure equality in dignity and rights for individuals and groups wherever necessary, while ensuring that they are not such as to appear racially discriminatory. In this respect, particular attention should be paid to racial or ethnic groups which are socially or economically disadvantaged, so as to afford them, on a completely equal footing and without discrimination or restriction, the protection of the laws and regulations and the advantages of the social measures in force, in particular in regard to housing, employment and health, to respect the authenticity of their culture and values, and to facilitate their social and occupational advancement, especially through education."

It is obvious that the international community, represented by its govern- ments, are, at least theoretically, in agreement on the need to eliminate racial discrimination and the need to take special measures to remedy the dis- advantages suffered by certain ethnic groups to enable them to protect and develop their own identity on the one hand and to facilitate their advance- ment until they can fully compete and develop in equality and dignity with others.

Other Obligations of States

In addition to these special measures to assist the disadvantaged, the Convention placed on States certain other definite obligations. States are obligated not to engage in practices of racial discrimination, or to sponsor, defend or support racial discrimination. Positively, they are required to take effective measures to review governmental, national and local policies and to amend, rescind or nullify any laws and recognition which have the effect of creating or perpetuating racial discrimination wherever it exists. They are required to prohibit and bring to an end, by all appropriate means, including legislation, racial discrimination by any persons, group or organisations.

States are obligated to encourage integrationist multiracial organisations and movements and other means of eliminating barriers between races.

Under the Convention, States undertook to adopt immediate and effective measures, particularly in the fields of teaching, education, culture and information, with a view to combating prejudices which lead to racial discrimination and to promoting understanding, tolerance and friendship among nations and racial or ethnic groups, as well as to propagating the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and the Convention on the same subject.

Thus, both the Declaration and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination as well as the UNESCO Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice, place positive obligations on States and govern- ments and standards of behaviour in addition to the enunciation of principles. When the behaviour of a State is analysed by reference to such obligations, standards and principles, it can be determined whether such a state is combating or condoning and promoting racial discrimination.

State behaviour is not measured simply by reference to its legislation. On occasion, legislation can be very deceiving. Racial discrimination and the denial of human rights and fundamental freedoms have been and they are still practised and maintained under constitutions and laws which guaranteed equality of rights and opportunity. In addition to laws, one should look at policies, practices and attitudes. It is the effect that the Convention calls for consideration, and not the pious statements.

Consequently, we no longer judge the existence or non-existence of racial discrimination by reference to subjective or unaccepted criteria. The inter- national community has definitively established such criteria. Therefore, when the General Assembly adopts the International Convention, such as apartheid and zionism, as forms of racism and racial discrimination, it does so by reference to internationally accepted and agreed definitions of racism and racial discrimination. The resolutions are not the result of pressures from interested parties. On the contrary, they are the result of
objective analysis of the facts and the application to them of internationally agreed criteria. That is why the opponents of such resolutions resorted and still resort to abuse and accusations unfounded around the United Nations.

Similarly, the behaviour and policies and practices of governments can now be judged with reference to objective internationally agreed and accepted criteria and standards of evaluation. When it is said today that the aborigines in some parts of Australia, the immigrants workers in some European countries, the blacks in South Africa or the Palestinians under Israeli rule are subjected to racial discrimination, such statements are made and can be objectively judged by reference to the facts of each case and the controlling internationally agreed and accepted criteria. It is important to keep this in mind in any discussion about race or racial discrimination.

It is also important to remember that the elimination of racial discrimination should not have as its objective the creation of an atmosphere of forced assimilation. The objective is to eliminate the health differences in culture, language or ways of life. Diversity is accepted and recognised. Ethnic groups have the right to develop their own identity while, at the same time, showing respect to the identities of others. Paragraph 2 of Article 1 of UNESCO’s Declaration on Race and Racial Prejudice reads:

“...all individuals and groups have the right to be different, to consider themselves different and to be regarded as such. However, the diversity of life styles and the right to be different may not, in any circumstances, serve as a pretext for racial prejudice; they may not justify either in law or in fact any discriminatory practice whatsoever, nor provide a ground for the policy of apartheid, which is the extreme form of racism.”

Crime Against Humanity

To emphasize the necessity to eliminate racial discrimination, the international community declared acts of racial discrimination as criminal offences punishable by law. Under the Convention, it is a criminal offence to disseminate ideas based on racial superiority or racial discrimination. Organizations based on ideas or theories of racial superiority or which attempt to propagate or even justify racial hatred and discrimination of any form are condemned and, consequently, should be declared illegal. To give effect to these and similar provisions, governments and non-governmental organizations should resort to positive action and utilize the machinery of the criminal law. States which have adopted the Convention and voted in the General Assembly in favour of the resolutions declaring apartheid and Zionism as forms of racism and racial discrimination should fulfil their legal and international obligation by prohibiting and punishing apartheid or Zionist propaganda or activities or the justification thereof.

In fact and in law, racial discrimination, like war crimes, are now classified as crimes against humanity. Consequently, any person who promotes, practises or commits acts of racial discrimination can be tried before any definition, which reflects the traditional definitions of racial discrimina- tion. However, it has been realised for some time that an abstract concept of equality cannot, by itself, lead to the elimination of the consequences of accumulated injustices suffered by historically disadvantaged ethnic groups.

Experience has shown that, while the principle of equal rights and equal opportunity should be fully respected, yet in the case of ethnic groups which had been subjected to racial discrimination over a long period of time, a strict application of this principle would not result in the elimination of the disadvantages affecting their ability to compete in a competitive open society. Specific policies and procedures would be required in order to enable members of such disadvantaged ethnic groups to compete effectively in the political, economic, educational and social activities of society. Efforts in that direction, like the Affirmative Action programme in the USA, faced opposition from those who interpreted such efforts as amounting to what they called ‘reverse discrimination’ in the sense that such programmes involve, in most cases, discrimination against the other ethnic groups. Indeed, that was the very point which raised considerable controversy in the United States culminating before the Supreme Court in the now famous Bakke case which was finally decided in 1978.

With that possible dilemma in mind, paragraph 4 of Article 1 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination provided as follows:

"Special measures taken for the sole purpose of securing adequate advancement of certain racial or ethnic groups or individuals requiring such protection as may be necessary in order to ensure such groups or individuals equal enjoyment or exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms shall not be deemed racial discrimination, provided, however, that such measures do not, as a consequence, lead to the maintenance of separate rights for different racial groups and that they shall not be continued after the objectives for which they were taken has been achieved."

Under the Convention, therefore, measures taken for the purpose of securing adequate advancement of disadvantaged ethnic groups are not considered racial discrimination. The crime as adopted by the Convention to distinguish such special measures from measures tainted with racial discrimination are:

1. The measures must be solely for the purpose of securing adequate advancement of such groups and for no other purpose.
2. The measures should not lead to racial segregation;
3. The measures must be transitory in nature and remain effective for the period of time necessary for the realisation of their objectives.
on 10 November 1975, found another example of existing settler-colonialism engineered and maintained by ideas of racial superiority. This example is Israel and Zionism and the UN General Assembly declared Zionism (and not Judaism) as a form of racism and racial discrimination. In its endeavor to implement the Charter which called for the promotion of universal respect for, and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, the General Assembly of the United Nations proclaimed, on 20 November 1963, the United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. To incorporate the concepts and principles embodied in the Declaration into international law, the United Nations, five very important steps: on 21 December 1965, it adopted and approved for signature and ratification the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. That Convention marked a significant step in the struggle for human rights in general, and for the elimination of racial discrimination in particular.

The General Assembly went further. On 30 November 1973, it adopted and opened for signature and ratification the International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid which, as defined in the Convention, goes beyond the concept of racial segregation, which is one of the main features of apartheid in South Africa. Both Conventions have now become a part of international law, and, for the countries which have ratified them, a part of their national law as well. Therefore, victims of racial discrimination and those who support the elimination of racial discrimination have, at least, these weapons to rely upon.

Without going into a detailed analysis of the two Conventions, it will be useful to refer to some of their provisions which deal with concepts and which are helpful in any discussion of racial relations. The first point I would like to refer to is the definition of racial discrimination; and the obligations of States to eliminate racial discrimination. The second point I would like to refer to is the definition of punishable offenses under the Conventions and the nature of the crimes so committed.

Racial Discrimination Defined

Article 1 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination defines 'racial discrimination' to mean:

"any distinction, exclusion, restriction or preference based on race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin which has the purpose or effect of nullifying or impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural or any other field of public life."

This definition may be described as the 'equality of rights and opportunity'
equally be pursued, arrested and brought to justice.

To combat racism and racial discrimination in a national and international necessity in order to achieve national and international harmony. In both cases, a very serious and infl amable cause of tension will be removed. In both cases, peoples can constructively and with pride assert their identity and cultivate respect for the identity of others. Self-fulfilment, whether for individuals or ethnic groups, within the concept of equality in dignity and rights for all, is a healthy phenomenon nationally and internationally.

For this cause, the cause of human dignity, our Organisation, EAFORD, is dedicated, and, with the involvement of others who share the same view we are certain that the cause will succeed.

Dr Anis-Al Qusem
Secretary General
EAFORD

February 1981

*Address given by Dr Anis-Al Qusem at the seminar "Ethnicity and Racial Relations", University of Brasilia, Brazil, 26/7 February 1981.

deprieved, and the privileged more privileged. Inequality tends to become perpetuated.

While this condition lasts tension continues to grow. To protect the privileges of the dominant ethnic group or groups, they become more and more oppressive, particularly if the deprived ethnic group shows symptoms of resentment and activity to remove discrimination from which they suffer. Various excuses are always given to the policy of oppression and repression, except the correct one. 'National Security' is the most commonly used excuse whether in South Africa, Israel or some Latin American countries.

Instead of remedying the situation, the policy of oppression simply intensifies and sharpens the conflict, until the stage is set for bloody confrontation as we have seen in USA in the sixties and as we now see between the blacks and whites in Southern Africa and between the Palestinians and the Israelis in Palestine. And the story will repeat itself so long as the injustices, discriminations and inequalities suffered by any ethnic group are not effectively eliminated.

The aspirations of peoples, every people, for equality in dignity and rights cannot be extinguished, and, underneath the ashes, the fire continues awaiting for the proper breeze to blow the ashes away and turn the fire into flames.

And this need not happen: the misery, the bloodshed and torture, the fear for life and the constant anxiety about today and tomorrow. Social harmony is a goal which should be a major objective.

One of the main consequences of the Second World War was the creation of the United Nations whose Charter declared that "the United Nations shall promote universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion" (Article 55). A second consequence of the War was the acceleration acquired by the decolonization process which led to the emergence on the international scene of nations which had a history of suffering from race prejudice and racial discrimination. Within the United Nations, the struggle against colonialism culminated in the Declaration of the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of 14th December 1960.

International Action

However, the question of racial discrimination was brought before the international forum much earlier than that date. It was in 1946 that India complained to the United Nations about the treatment of persons of Indian origin in South Africa, and thus, apartheid, as a form of racism and racial discrimination, became exposed together with the system that produced it.

The presence of the whites in South Africa was a colonial presence for whose maintenance the philosophy and practices of apartheid, based on assumed white inherent superiority, were adopted. The United Nations, years later,
All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of EAPORD.
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